Reactions of (Cyclopentadienyl)rhenium Halide Complexes $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Re(NO)(PPh_3)(X)$ with *n*-BuLi/TMEDA; Generation and Methylation of Lithio- and Dilithiocyclopentadienyl Ligands

Guy L. Crocco and John A. Gladysz*

Department of Chemistry, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah 84112, USA Received September 7, 1987

Reactions of halide complexes $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Re(NO)(PPh_3)(X)$ [1, X = Cl (a), Br (b), I (c)] with n-BuLi/TMEDA (1.1 equiv., THF, $-78 \,^{\circ}$ C) give lithiocyclopentadienyl complexes $(\eta^5-C_5H_4Li)$ -Re(NO)(PPh_3)(X) (2), as assayed by NMR and subsequent methylation to $(\eta^5-C_5H_4CH_3)Re(NO)(PPh_3)(X)$ (3). Reaction of 2 a with further n-BuLi/TMEDA affords (0°C) dilithiocyclopentadienyl complex $(\eta^5-1,3-C_5H_3Li_2)Re(NO)(PPh_3)(Cl)$ (4), as assayed by NMR and methylation to $[\eta^5-1,3-C_5H_3(CH_3)_2]Re(NO)(PPh_3)$ (Cl) (5). No significant migration of the halide ligand to the lithiocyclopentadienyl ligand is observed. New compounds 3a - c and 5 are characterized by NMR (¹H, ¹³C, ³¹P), IR, mass spectrometry, and microanalysis.

There has been a great deal of recent interest in reactions of cyclopentadienyl transition-metal complexes with strong bases such as lithium alkyls and lithium dialkylamides¹⁻⁸⁾. Both synthetically useful¹⁻⁴⁾ and mechanistically novel⁵⁻⁸⁾ processes have been discovered. Often, cyclopentadienyl ligand deprotonation occurs, giving a lithiocyclopentadienyl ligand (eq i, step a). Depending upon the nature of the remaining ligands, subsequent rearrangement to a metal centered anion can occur (eq i, step b)⁵⁻⁸⁾. These migrations are now known to be relatively common, and resemble well-established anionic rearrangements of organic compounds⁹⁾.



Migratory aptitudes can provide valuable information on rearrangement mechanisms. The migration of silvl or germyl ligands to lithiocyclopentadienyl ligands has been previously reported by Graham^{5a)}, Malisch^{5c)}, Berryhill^{5d)}, Pasman^{5g)}, Pannell^{5h)} and ourselves⁸⁾. Similar migrations of acyl ligands have been described by ourselves⁶⁾ and Davies⁵⁰. We have also reported related examples of hydride ligand migrations⁷. However, data on the migratory aptitudes of halide ligands are lacking. We have recently become interested in the physical and chemical properties of (cyclopentadienyl)rhenium halide complexes $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Re(NO)(PPh_3)(X)$ (1)¹⁰ and alkyl halide complexes $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Re(NO)(PPh_3)(XR)]^+BF_4^{-11}]$. Hence, we set out to study reactions of the former with alkyllithium reagents. We anticipated either initial deprotonation to give a lithiocyclopentadienyl ligand, or halogen/lithium exchange to give the previously characterized rhenium anion Li^{-} $[(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{5}) Re(NO)(PPh_3)^{-7}$.

Results

Chloride complex $(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{5})Re(NO)(PPh_{3})(Cl)$ (1a) was treated with *n*-BuLi/TMEDA (1.1 equiv.) in THF at -78 °C. Subsequent addition of CH₃OSO₂CF₃ gave methylcyclopentadionyl complex $(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{4}CH_{3})Re(NO)(PPh_{3})(Cl)$ (3a) in 78% yield after workup (eq ii). The ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectra of **3a** showed patterns characteristic of a monosubstituted cyclopentadienyl ligand (Table)⁶⁻⁸⁾. The corresponding bromide and iodide complexes $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)$ -Re(NO)(PPh₃)(X) [X = Br (**1b**), I (**1c**)] gave identical chemistry, and the corresponding methylcyclopentadienyl complexes $(\eta^5-C_5H_4CH_3)Re(NO)(PPh_3)(X)$ [X = Br (**3b**), I (**3c**); Table] were isolated in 68 and 65% yields, respectively.



The spectroscopic detection of intermediates in the above transformations was attempted. The reaction of 1a with *n*-BuLi/ TMEDA was monitored at -78 °C by ³¹P NMR. Complex 1a (17.79 ppm) immediately disappeared, and a new complex (2a, 21.60 ppm) appeared. Such ca. 4 ppm downfield shifts have been previously shown to be characteristic of cyclopentadienyl ligand monolithiation⁶⁻⁸, and subsequent addition of CH₃OSO₂CF₃ gave methylcyclopentadienyl complex 3a as above. Hence, the intermediate was assigned the structure (η^5 -C₅H₄Li)Re(NO)(PPh₃)(Cl) (2a). Analogous ³¹P-NMR experiments were conducted with bromide complex 1b (16.07 ppm) and iodide complex 1c (14.13 ppm). In both cases, lithiocyclopentadienyl complexes (η^5 -C₅H₄Li)-Re(NO)(PPh₃)(X) (2b, 19.69 ppm; 2c, 17.26 ppm) rapidly formed and underwent clean methylation.

In a separate experiment, lithiocyclopentadienyl complex 2a was allowed to warm. Above 0 °C, decomposition occurred to give some material (10–20%) with ^{3!}P-NMR resonances (45–47 ppm) characteristic of rhenium-centered anions Li⁺ [(η^{5} -C₅H₄X)Re(NO)-(PPh₃)]. However, due to the low yield we were not able to spectroscopically (or by chemical trapping) distinguish this material from the parent anion Li⁺ [(η^{5} -C₅H₅)Re(NO)(PPh₃)]⁻. Identical results were obtained from analogous experiments with lithiocyclopentadienyl bromide and iodide complexes 2b and 2c.

Interestingly, lithiocyclopentadienyl chloride complex 2a reacted with another equivalent of *n*-BuLi/TMEDA (0°C, 30 min) to give dilithiocyclopentadienyl complex (η^{5} -1,3-C₅H₃Li₂)Re(NO)(PPh₃)-(Cl) (4, eq iii), as assayed by ³¹P NMR (26.22 ppm) and subsequent methylation (CH₃I, 0°C) to dimethylcyclopentadienyl complex [η^{5} -1,3-C₅H₃(CH₃)₂]Re(NO)(PPh₃)(Cl) (5, 18.38 ppm). In a preparative experiment, 5 was isolated in 63% yield. The assignment of the 1,3substitution pattern was made on the basis of a split IR C-H

Complex	IR (cm ⁻¹ , KBr)	¹ h nmr (6. CDC1 ₃) ^{a)}	¹³ C(¹ H) NMR (ppm, CDC1 ₃) ^{b)}	³¹ P{ ¹ H} NMR (ppm, CDC1 ₃) ^c)
ON He PPh ₃ Cl 38	v _{N≘O} 1653 s	7.54-7.37 (m, 3 C ₆ H ₅); C ₅ H ₄ (br m) at 5.57, 5.52, 4.54, 4.06; 1.80 (s, CH ₃).	PC ₆ H ₅ at 135.28 (d, J = 53.5, <u>ipso</u>), 134.38 (d, J = 11.3), 130.92 (s, <u>p</u>), 128.90 (d, J = 11.3); C ₅ H ₄ at 119.71 (s, <u>ipso</u>), 93.80 (s), 86.26 (br s), 85.10 (s), 84.87 (d, J = 6.6); 13.74 (s, CH ₃).	17.98 (s)
ON - Re - PPh ₃ Br 3b	∿ _{N≘O} 1654 s	7.54-7.39 (m, 3 C ₆ H ₅); C ₅ H ₄ (br m) at 5.51, 5.42, 4.57, 4.18; 1.97 (s, CH ₃).	$PC_{6}H_{5}$ at 135.71 (d, J = 53.4, <u>ipso</u>), 134.39 (d, J = 11.3), 130.88 (s, p), 128.85 (d, J = 11.2); $C_{5}H_{4}$ at 117.08 (s, <u>ipso</u>), 93.39 (s), 88.30 (s), 85.54 (s), 84.32 (s); 14.23 (s, CH_{3}).	16.61 (s)
CH_3 CH_3 $ON = PPh_3$ I $3c$	ν _{N≘O} 1655 s	7.54-7.36 (m, 3 $C_{6}H_{5}$); $C_{5}H_{4}$ (br m) at 5.42 (1H), 5.21 (1H), 4.55 (2H); 2.18 (s, CH ₃).	$PC_{6}H_{5} \text{ at } 136.57 \text{ (d, } J = 53.8, \\ \frac{1p_{50}}{1}, 134.56 \text{ (d, } J = 10.1), \\ 130.94 \text{ (s, } p), 128.90 \text{ (d, } J = 10.1); \\ C_{5}H_{4} \text{ at } 112.12 \text{ (s, } \underline{1p_{50}}), \\ 92.70 \text{ (d, } J = 2.5), 91.64 \text{ (s)}, \\ 86.61 \text{ (s)}, 84.47 \text{ (d, } J = 2.5); \\ 14.92 \text{ (s, } CH_{3}). \\ \end{array}$	14.60 (s)
H_3C	ν _{ΝΞΟ} 1642 s ^δ _{C-H} 750 m 738 m	7.58-7.34 (m, 3 C ₆ H ₅); C ₅ H ₃ (br m) at 5.49, 5.36, 3.42; 1.88 (s, CH ₃); 1.69 (s, CH ₃).	$\begin{array}{l} PC_{G}H_{5} \text{ at } 135.50 \ (d, \ J = 53.2, \\ \underline{ipso}, \ 134.06 \ (d, \ J = 9.5), \\ 130.51 \ (s, \ p), \ 128.54 \ (d, \ J = \\ 11.3); \ C_{5}H_{3} \text{ at } 122.83 \ (s, \ \underline{ipso}), \\ 100.88 \ (s, \ \underline{ipso}), \ 91.11 \ (s), \\ 86.45 \ (d, \ J = 6.9), \ 79.63 \ (s); \\ 2 \ CH_{3} \text{ at } 13.83 \ (s), \ 12.55 \ (s). \end{array}$	18.38 (s)

Table. NMR characterization of new cyclopentadienyl-substituted complexes

^{a)} At 300 MHz and ambient probe temperature and referenced to internal $(CH_3)_4$ Si; all couplings (Hz) are to hydrogen. – ^{b)} At 75 MHz and ambient probe temperature and referenced to internal $(CH_3)_4$ Si; all couplings are to phosphorus. – ^{c)} At 32.2 MHz and ambient probe temperature and referenced to external 85% H₃PO₄.

bending absorption at 750 cm⁻ⁱ. Based upon extensive data on substituted ferrocenes¹², the 1,2-isomer would show a single C-H bending absorption in this region. Lithiocyclopentadienyl complexes **2b** and **2c** did not react with additional *n*-BuLi/TMEDA at 0°C.



Discussion

Although the deprotonation of cyclopentadienyl ligands by alkyllithium reagents was reported soon after the discovery of ferrocene¹³, only very recently have migrations of ancillary ligands to lithiocyclopentadienyl ligands been recognized as a class of reactions. This study establishes that halide ligands have, at best, poor migratory aptitudes. Comparison to previous work with (cyclopentadienyl)rhenium complexes of the formula $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Re(NO)-(PPh_3)(L)$ gives the following order of ligand migratory aptitudes: acyl > silyl > hydride > halide > alkyl. Our new results support the previous suggestion by Berryhill^{5d}) and ourselves⁶⁾ that the best migrating ligands should have low-lying acceptor orbitals.

Cyclopentadienyl-halide complexes 1 do, however, rapidly undergo deprotonation by *n*-BuLi/TMEDA. Comparison with the studies cited above gives the relative rates halide $\simeq acyl > alkyl$ $<math>\geq$ silyl \simeq hydride. This correlates well with the electron-withdrawing capabilities of these ligands. The strong electron-withdrawing capability of the chloride ligand likely facilitates further lithiation to give the 1,3-C₅H₃Li₂ ligand. The polylithiation of ferrocene has been reported¹⁴, but this is to our knowledge the first example of a *cleanly* generated 1,3-C₅H₃Li₂ ligand.

Finally, we note that lithiocyclopentadienyl metal complexes are useful intermediates for the synthesis of bi- and polymetallic complexes⁴. Further studies of reactions of (cyclopentadienyl)rhenium complexes with strong bases are in progress.

We thank the *National Science Foundation* for support of this research.

Experimental

General: All reactions were conducted under dry N₂. - IR spectra: Perkin Elmer 1500 (FT) spectrometer. - NMR spectra: Varian XL-300 (¹H, ¹³C) and FT-80A (³; P) spectrometers. – Mass spectra: VG 770 spectrometer. - Microanalyses: Galbraith Laboratories.

Solvents were purified as follows: THF and benzene, distilled from Na/benzophenone; hexane, distilled from Na; CH₂Cl₂ and CDCl₃, distilled from P₂O₅; ethyl acetate, used as received. Base n-BuLi (Aldrich) was standardized before use¹⁵⁾. TMEDA (Aldrich) and CH₃OSO₂CF₃ (Aldrich) were distilled from CaH₂. CH₃I was distilled from P_2O_5 and stored over Cu. Halide complexes (η^5 - C_5H_5)Re(NO)(PPh₃)(X) were prepared as previously described ^{10a)}.

Preparation of $(\eta^5 - C_5 H_4 C H_3) Re(NO)(PPh_3)(Cl)$ (3a): A Schlenk tube was charged with $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Re(NO)(PPh_3)(Cl)$ (1a, 0.17 g, 0.21 mmol), THF (10 ml), and a stir bar. The solution was cooled to -24°C, and TMEDA (0.031 g, 0.27 mmol) and n-BuLi (0.11 ml, 2.4 M in hexane) were added with stirring. After 0.5 h, CH₃OSO₂CF₃ (0.085 g, 0.52 mmol) was added. After 0.5 h, the solution was transferred to a round bottom flask and solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The residue was extracted with benzene. The extract was filtered, and the solvent was removed from the filtrate by rotary evaporation. The resulting red oil was dissolved in ca. 10 ml of CH₂Cl₂, the solution layered with hexane and kept at -24° C for 2 days. Small red crystals formed, which were collected and dried in vacuo at 78 °C to give 0.097 g (0.16 mmol, 78%) of **3a**, m.p. 174 - 175 °C (dec.). – Mass spectrum (17 eV; ¹⁸⁷Re, ³⁵Cl): m/z (%) = 593 (M⁺, 41), 563 (M⁺ - NO, 6), 449 (M⁺ - $Cl - NO - C_5 H_4 CH_3$, 3), 262 (PPh₃⁺, 100).

> C₂₄H₂₂ClNOPRe (593.2) Calcd. C 48.60 H 3.75 Found C 48.30 H 3.77

 $(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{4}CH_{3})Re(NO)(PPh_{3})(Br)$ (3b) was prepared from $(\eta^{5}-$ C₅H₅)Rc(NO)(PPh₃)(Br) (1b, 0.11 g, 0.18 mmol), TMEDA (0.029 g, 0.25 mmol), n-BuLi (0.10 ml, 2.5 M in hexane), and CH₃OSO₂CF₃ (0.073 g, 0.44 mmol) in a manner identical to the preparation of 3a. This gave 0.78 g (0.12 mmol, 68%) of **3b**, m.p. 186-187 °C. - Mass spectrum (17 eV; ¹⁸⁷Re, ⁷⁹Br): m/z (%) = 637 (M⁺, 33), 607 (M⁺ -NO, 5), 449 (M^+ – Br – NO–C₅H₄CH₃, 4), 345 (M^+ – NO – PPh₃, 3), 262 (PPh₃⁺, 100).

> C24H22BrNOPRe (637.6) Calcd. C 45.21 H 3.49 Found C 45.30 H 3.63

 $(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{4}CH_{3})Re(NO)(PPh_{3})(I)$ (3c) was prepared from $(\eta^{5} C_{5}H_{5}Rc(NO)(PPh_{3})(I)$ (1c, 0.076 g, 0.11 mmol), TMEDA (0.020 g, 0.17 mmol), n-BuLi (0.060 ml, 2.5 м in hexane), and CH₃OSO₂CF₃ (0.062 g, 0.38 mmol) in a manner identical to the preparation of **3a**. This gave 0.049 g (0.072 mmol, 65%) of 3c, m.p. 178-179°C. -Mass spectrum (70 eV; ¹⁸⁷Re): m/z (%) = 685 (M⁺, 56), 655 (M⁺ - NO, 2), 558 (M⁺ - I, 12), 528 (M⁺ - I - NO, 16), 449 (M⁺ $- I - NO - C_5H_4CH_3$, 10), 423 (M⁺ - PPh₃, 3), 393 (M⁺ -PPh₃ - NO, 2), 262 (PPh₃⁺, 100).

C₂₄H₂₂INOPRe (684.6) Calcd. C 42.11 H 3.25 Found C 41.94 H 3.14

Preparation of $[\eta^5-1,3-C_5H_3(CH_3)_2]Re(NO)(PPh_3)(Cl)$ (5): A Schlenk tube was charged with 1a (0.074 g, 0.13 mmol), THF (5 ml), and a stir bar. The solution was cooled to 0°C, and TMEDA (0.038 g, 0.33 mmol) and n-BuLi (0.13 ml, 2.5 м in hexane) were added with stirring. After 50 min, CH₃I (0.099 g, 0.70 mmol) was added. After 0.5 h, the reaction was transferred to a round bottom flask, and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The residue was extracted with benzene. The extract was filtered, and the solvent was removed from the filtrate by rotary evaporation.

The resulting red oil was chromatographed on a 15 \times 2.5 cm silica gel column with ethyl acetate/hexane (20:80 v/v). The major orange band was collected and concentrated to a red oil. The oil was dissolved in ca. 5 ml of CH_2Cl_2 , the solution layered with hexane and kept at -24° C for 3 days. Small red crystals formed, which were collected by filtration and dried in vacuo at 78°C to give $0.050 \text{ g} (0.082 \text{ mmol}, 63\%) \text{ of 5, m.p. } 203 - 205 ^{\circ}\text{C} (dec.). - \text{Mass}$ spectrum (17 eV; ¹⁸⁷Re, ³⁵Cl): m/z (%) = 607 (M⁺, 36), 592 (M⁺ - CH_3 , 2), 577 (M⁺ - 2 CH_3 , 4), 262 (PPh₃⁺, 100).

C25H24CINOPRe (607.2) Calcd. C 49.45 H 3.99 Found C 49.67 H 4.24

CAS Registry Numbers

1a: 92761-70-1 / 1b: 92695-33-5 / 1c: 92695-34-6 / 2a: 110852-**3**³-2 / **2b**: 110852-94-3 / **2c**: 110852-95-4 / **3a**: 110852-89-6 / **3b**: 110852-90-9 / **3c**: 110852-91-0 / **4**: 110874-20-9 / **5**: 110852-92-1

- ^{1) 1a)} A. N. Nesmeyanov, Adv. Organomet. Chem. 10 (1972) 1. –
 ^{1b)} T. Orlova, V. N. Setkina, V. F. Sizoi, D. N. Kursanov, J. Organomet. Chem. 252 (1983) 201. ^{1c)} T. Orlova, V. N. Setkina, D. N. Kursanov, J. Organomet. Chem. 267 (1984) 309. ^{1d)} M. F. Wielche V. W. Dour J. Organomet. Chem. 279 (1987) 43
- ²⁾ ^{2a)} L. S. Liebeskind, M. E. Welker, R. W. Fengl, *J. Am. Chem.* **329** (1987) 43.
 ²⁾ ^{2a)} L. S. Liebeskind, M. E. Welker, R. W. Fengl, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **108** (1986) 6328. ^{2b)} S. G. Davies, R. J. C. Easton, J. C. Walker, P. Warner, *Tetrahedron* **42** (1986) 175. ^{2c)} S. G. Davies, R. J. C. Easton, A. Gonzalez, S. C. Preston, K. H. Sutton, J. C. Welker, *Tetrahedron* **40** (4097) 2087 Walker, Tetrahedron 42 (1986) 3987.
- ^{3) 3a)} G. L. Crocco, J. A. Gladysz, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **107** (1985) 4103. ^{3b)} G. L. Crocco, J. A. Gladysz, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. **1986**, 1154.
- ⁴⁾ B. D. Zwick, A. M. Arif, A. T. Patton, J. A. Gladysz, Angew. Chem. 99 (1987) 921; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 26 (1987) 910.
- ^{5) 5a)} W. K. Dean, W. A. G. Graham, *Inorg. Chem.* **16** (1977) 1061. ^{5b)} H. Werner, W. Hofmann, *Angew. Chem.* **90** (1978) 496; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **17** (1978) 464. ^{5c)} G. Thum, W. Ries, D. Greissinger, W. Malisch, J. Organomet. Chem. **252** (1983) C67. – ^{5d)} S. R. Berryhill, G. L. Clevenger, F. Y. Burdurlu, Organometallics **4** (1985) 1509. – ^{5e)} K. Brinkman, P. Helquist, Tetrahedron Lett. **26** (1985) 2845. – ⁵⁰ S. Abbott, G. J. Baird, S. G. Davies, I. M. Dordor-Hedgecock, T. R. Maberly, J. C. Walker, P. Warner, J. Organomet. Chem. 289 (1985) C13. – ^{5gl} P. Pasman, J. J. M. Snell, J. Organomet. Chem. 301 (1986) 329. – ^{5hl} K. H. Pannell, J. Cervantes, C. Hernandez, J. Cassias, S. Vincenti, Organometallics 5 (1986) 1056. - 51 K. H. Pannell,
- S. P. Vincenti, R. C. Scott III, Organometallics 6 (1987) 1593. ⁶ P. C. Heah, A. T. Patton, J. A. Gladysz, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 108 (1986) 1185.
- ⁷⁾ G. L. Crocco, J. A. Gladysz, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1985, 283.
- ⁸⁾ G. L. Crocco, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Utah, 1986.
- ^{9) 9a)} J. March, Advanced Organic Chemistry, pp 992-995, Wiley, New York 1985. ^{9b)} D. H. Hunter, J. B. Strothers, E. W. Warnhoff, Rearrangements in Ground and Excited States, Vol. 1,
- ¹⁰ 10⁴ J. H. Merrifield, J. M. Fernández, W. E. Buhro, J. A. Gladysz, Inorg. Chem. 23 (1984) 4022. ^{10b} G. S. Bodner, V. D. Parker, J. A. Gladysz, unpublished data.
- ¹¹⁾ C. H. Winter, A. M. Arif, J. A. Gladysz, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 109 (1987), in press. ¹²⁾ M. Rosenblum, Chemistry of the Iron Group Metallocenes, pp
- 39, 85, 211, Interscience, New York 1965.
 ¹³⁾ ^{13a)} R. A. Benkeser, D. Goggin, G. Schroll, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 76 (1954) 4025. ^{13b)} A. N. Nesmeyanov, E. G. Perevalova, Golovnya, D. A. Nesmeyanova, Dolk. Akad. Nauk. SSSR 97 (1954) 459
- C8.
- ¹⁵⁾ A. Silveira jr., H. D. Bretherick jr., E. Negishi, J. Chem. Ed. 56 (1979) 560.

[241/87]